1			
1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00176-TLN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	JIMMY PEREZ AYON,  DATE: December 12, 2024		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
16		1	
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on December 12, 2024.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until March		
22	27, 2025, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between December 12, 2024, and March 27, 2025, under		
23	Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
25	a) The government has repre	esented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes approximately 3,380 pages of documents, including investigative reports, text messages		
27	transcripts of recorded communications, and other materials, as well as multiple undercover		
28			

video and audio recordings. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant was newly appointed on August 8, 2024, replacing prior counsel of record. *See* ECF 48 (minutes).
- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review this discovery, to conduct factual investigation, to evaluate potential responses to the charges, to confer with his client, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 12, 2024 to March 27, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

## Case 2:22-cr-00176-TLN Document 55 Filed 12/09/24 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: December 9, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT
2		United States Attorney
3		/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER DAVID W. SPENCER
4		DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney
5		
6	Dated: December 9, 2024	/s/ OLAF HEDBERG
7		OLAF HEDBERG Counsel for Defendant
8		JIMMY PEREZ AYON
9		
10		
11	ORDER	
12	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of December, 2024.	
13		
14		
15		The ford
16		Troy L. Nunley Chief United States District Judge
17		5 5 5 <u>5</u>
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		